Table I.	Yields of RCOCH(R	$)CMe_2NO_2$ (1),	$RCOC(R') = CMe_2$	(2), [RCOCH(R')-] ₂ (3), and R(COCH(R')X (4) fro	m the Reaction of
RC(OLi)	=CHR' and XCMe ₂ N(O₂ in THF-Hex	ane (60:40) ^a				

R, R'-	Х	conditions ^b	1 ^c	2 ^{<i>c</i>}	3 ^c	4 ^c	(1 + 2)/ 3
t-Bu, H	Cl ^d	3 h	0,0 ^e	72, 6 ^e	<5, 2 ^e	0,0 ^e	>14
t-Bu, H	NO_2^d	3 h	$0, 0^{e}, 0^{f}$	20, 7, ^e 25 ^f	30, 9, ^e 27 ^f	15, 16, ^e 13 ^f	$0.7, 0.9^{f}$
t-Bu, H	p-MePhSO ₂ ^d	3 h	0	28	38	0	1.4
t-Bu, H	p-MePhSO,	1.5 h, 13 vol % Me,SO	$0, 0^{f}$	45,29 ^f	10, 6 ^f	0	4.5
t-Bu, H	p-MePhSO ^d	1.5 h, 0 °C	25	10	48	0	0.8
Ph, H	Cl ^d	1 h, -20 °C, 13 vol % HMPA	0	97	0	0	×.
Ph, Me	C1	1 h, 0-10 °C	70, 78, ^g 66 ^h	$0, 0, {}^{g} 0^{h}$	23, 19, ^g 22 ^h	0, 0, ^g 0 ^h	3.0, 4.1, ^g 3.0 ^h
Ph, Me	C1	1 h	48, 0 ^e	0, 0 ^e	37, 0 ^e	$0, 0^{e}$	1.3
Ph, Me	C1	1 h, 100% THF	50	0	28	0	1.8
Ph, Me	NO,	1 h	10	0	17	24	0.6
Ph, Me	p-MePhSO,	1 h	21, 26, ^g 32 ^{g, h}	$0, 0, {}^{g} 0^{g, h}$	52, 47 ^g 54 ^{g, h}	15, 5, ^g 5 ^{g, h}	0.6, 0.4, ^g 0.55 ^{g,h}
Ph, Me	C1	1 h, 0 °C, 13 vol % HMPA	76, 52, ^f 0 ^e	4, 11, ^f 0 ^e	12, 14, ^f 0 ^e	0, 0, ^f 0 ^e	6.6, 4.0 ^f
Ph, Me	C1	15 min, 0-10 °C, 13 vol % Me,SO	48	7	11	0	5.0
Pii, Me	C1	1 h, 35 °C, 13 vol % Me, SO	28	21	13	0	3.8
Ph, Me	C1	8 min, 30 °C, K ⁺ in Me ₂ SO	0	7	31	0	0.2
Ph, Me	C1	1 h, 5 °C, HMPA	0	5	31	0	0.2
Ph, Et	C1	1 h, -10-0 °C, 13 vol % HMPA	73	0	13	0	5.6
Ph, <i>i</i> -Pr	C1	1 h	4, 8, ⁱ 0 ^e	0, 0, ⁱ 0 ^e	66, 69, ⁱ 0 ^e	$0, 0, 0^{i} 0^{e}$	$0.06, 0.1^{i}$
P h, <i>i</i> -Pr	C1	1 h, 0–10 °C, 13 vol % HMPA	35, 29, ^f 25 ^h	$0, 0, f 0^{h}$	42, 42, ^f 37 ^h	1.5, 4 , ^f 7 ^h	0.8, 0.7, ^f 0.7 ^h
Ph, <i>i</i> -Pr	C1	1 h, 0 °C, 13 vol % Me ₂ SO	24, 0 ^e	0, 0 ^e	32,0 ^e	8.5, 29 ^e	0.8
Ph, i-Pr	C1	1 h, 10 °C, 38 vol % Me ₂ SO	16,0 ^e	0, 0 ^e	23, 0 ^e	20, 44 ^e	0.7
Ph, i-Pr	C1	1 h, 35 °C, K ⁺ in Me ₂ SO	2, 0 ^e	0, 0 ^e	25,0 ^e	18, 30 ^e	0.05
Ph, <i>i</i> -Pr	C1	1 h, 5 °C, HMPA	0	0	0	44	
Ph, Ph	C1	3 h, 35 °C	<2, 0 ^e	0, 0 ^e	66,0 ^e	0, 0 ^e	<0.02

^a Satisfactory elemental analysis, ¹H NMR spectra and high resolution MS were obtained for all new compounds. The known dimers 3 isolated as the *meso*, *dl* mixtures, or as the pure isomers were demonstrated to be symmetrical dimers by ¹H NMR and my comparison with literature data. ^b Five millimoles of $(i-Pr)_2$ NH and 5 mL of THF were added to 5 mmol of *n*-BuLi in hexane (3.2 mL) at -40 °C. The solution was warmed to 0 °C, cooled to -20 °C, and the ketone added dropwise to give a solution ~0.5 M in E⁻. Additional reagents were added at 0 or 25 °C and the solutions irradiated with a 275-W sunlamp which in the absence of cooling maintained a reaction temperature of 35 °C. Unless otherwise indicated the molar ratio of E⁻ to XCMe₂NO₂ was 1:1. ^c Based on ¹H NMR. For reactions with E⁻/XCMe₂NO₂ = 2, yields are based on the theoretical formation of 1 mol of product per mol of XCMe₂NO₂. With E⁻/XCMe₂NO₂ < 1, yields are based on the theoretical formation of 3 per mol of E⁻. ^d Molar ratio E⁻/XCMe₂NO₂ = 2:1. ^e 0.05 M (t-Bu)₂NO·, 25 °C, no irradiation. ^f 0.15 M Me₂C=NO₂Li. ^g Diluted 5-fold with THF. ^h Molar ratio E⁻/XCMe₂NO₂ = 1:2. ⁱ 0.5 M 12-crown-4-ether.

unimolecular and bimolecular reactions of XCMe₂NO₂-. However, the effect of X on the ratio 1/3 is easily explained if reactions (1) and (2) have merged and competition occurs as shown in Scheme I. Reaction of XCMe₂NO₂- with E⁻ to form 1⁻ or E. predicts that the ratio 1/3 should depend on the structure of X. However, the mechanism by which E^- replaces X at a tetrasubstituted carbon atom is puzzling. Perhaps the formation of 1 and 3 involves a common intermediate distinct form free O2NCMe2. Electron transfer from E⁻ to XCMe₂NO₂⁻ would almost certainly be dissociative and the cage intermediate, [E. X⁻ Me₂.C=NO₂⁻], is a possibility. Escape of E. from the cage would lead to 3 via reaction 7, while coupling of E. and Me₂C=NO₂⁻ in the cage would lead to 1. It appears that as the ease of one-electron donation from the anion increases [e.g., from $MeC(CO_2Et)_2^-$ to PhC(O⁻)=CHR'], the chain reaction involved shifts from one involving discrete step, (1)-(3), to a process in which reactions (1) and (2) are no longer distinct.

The competition between reactions 4 and 5 depends upon counterion and solvent (see Table I). For PhC(OLi)=CH-*i*-Pr the ratio 1/3 passes through a maximum as Me₂SO or HMPA is added to the THF-hexane solvent. In 100% Me₂SO or HMPA the ratio 1/3 is quite low for secondary enolate anions, perhaps reflecting that free monoenolate anions react with XCMe₂NO₂⁻⁻ or O₂NCMe₂ to yield mainly E. As Me₂SO or HMPA is added to the THF-hexane solvent system, the E₂ elimination of HNO₂ from 1 becomes important, particularly at higher temperatures (Table I). With primary enolate anions it is difficult to prevent this reaction, and experiments with these anions were performed by using 2 equiv of E⁻ to maximize the yield of 2. In solvents containing Me₂SO or HMPA, chlorine atom or nitro group transfer occurs (reaction 10). Reaction 10 was observed in the

$$E^{-} + XCMe_2NO_2 \rightarrow EX + Me_2C = NO_2^{-}$$
(10)

presence or absence of radical chain inhibitors, and from inhibited

experiments it is clear that 4 is not converted to 3 by an S_N^2 displacement.¹⁰

(10) The source of $PhCOCH(Me)SO_2PhMe-p$ mentioned in Table I is not clear, but it may involve the attack of E- on p-MePhSO₂⁻.

Bis Heteroannulation. 3. Facile Syntheses of (\pm) -Ligularone and (\pm) -Petasalbine

Peter A. Jacobi* and Donald G. Walker

Hall-Atwater Laboratories, Wesleyan University Middletown, Connecticut 06457

Received March 23, 1981

Ligularone (1) and petasalbine (2) are furanceremophilanes isolated from the rhizomes of the *Ligularia* and *Petasites* genus of plants.¹ These same species produce at least 10 other com-



pounds having the basic skeleton of the furanceremophilanes,^{1b}

0002-7863/81/1503-4611\$01.25/0 © 1981 American Chemical Society

 ^{(1) (}a) Novotňy, L.; Herout, V.; Sŏrm, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1961, 697.
 (b) Naya, K.; Nakagawa, M.; Hayashi, M.; Hayashi, M.; Tsuji, K.; Naito, M. Ibid. 1971, 2961. (c) Ishii, H.; Tozyo, T.; Minato, H. Tetrahedron 1965, 21, 2605.

and this observation has led to considerable interest in the development of synthetic routes to this class of sesquiterpenes.

The published work in this area has covered a variety of different approaches,²but frequently there is little control over regiochemical features throughout the course of the syntheses. Intermediate 5, for example, gave ~10% of 1 along with 22% of isoligularone (6) in the final steps of the synthesis.^{2c} Similar



difficulties were encountered in the elaboration of intermediate 4 via a Diels-Alder strategy.^{2a,b} In view of these results we have developed an alternative route to the furanosesquiterpenes which involves a process we have loosely termed as "bis heteroannulation".⁴ In this paper we describe a facile synthesis of intermediate 7a and its high yield conversion to ligularone (1). Since 1 has previously been converted to petasalbine (2),³ this work



also constitutes a formal total synthesis of 2. In addition, however, we have further demonstrated that 7b is readily converted to 2. Although 7b was not obtained in a highly stereoselective fashion, this latter conversion, in particular, further illustrates the extraordinary reactivity of the oxazoles in Diels-Alder reactions.⁵

The key intermediate for our synthesis of 7a was the lactone derivative 11a, and this material was readily derived via a Bayer-Villiger oxidation of perhydroindanone 9 (Scheme I). Selectivity in this reaction is presumably due to a relief of eclipsing interactions involving the substituents at C_2 , C_3 and C_{3a} (cf. 10): Migration in the desired sense (*path a*) would remove these interactions in the transition state leading to 11a, while migration in the opposite sense (*path b*) would not. Once in hand, the desired conversion of 11a to the acetylenic oxazole 7a followed in a straightforward fashion as illustrated in Scheme II.⁸ Thus, 11a was smoothly converted to the oxazole alcohol 12 through the use of a modified Schöllkopf reaction as previously described in our synthesis of evodone.^{4b,9} This latter material was then cleanly oxidized¹⁰ to the unstable aldehyde 13 which was directly condensed with lithiopropyne to give a 55:45 mixture of the diastereomeric alcohols 7b and 7c. Oxidation of this mixture then gave a virtually quantitative yield of the single acetylenic ketone 7a, and finally, 7a gave a 92% yield of (\pm)-ligularone (1) upon refluxing in ethyl benzene (26 h).⁴ The material thus obtained had identical NMR, IR, and UV spectral data as those reported for the naturally occurring substance,^{1c,11} with further proof of the structure being provided by its conversion to epipetasalbine (3) following the published procedure.^{1c,3}

In similar fashion acetylenic alcohol 7b gave an 84% yield of (\pm) -petasalbine (2), whose ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and other spectral data were identical with those reported for the naturally occurring substance.^{1c,3,12} Further proof of the structure was provided by conversion of synthetic 2 to both ligularone (1) and petasalbine acetate following the published procedures.^{1c}

In many cases, we believe, the described procedures will represent the method of choice for the synthesis of furanosesquiterpenes. Additional examples of this approach for the synthesis

^{(2) (}a) Bohlmann, F.; Förster, H.-J.; Fischer, C.-H. Liebigs Ann. Chem.
1976, 1487. (b) Yamakawa, K.; Satoh, T. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1977, 25,
2535. (c) Yoshikoshi, A.; Kumazawa, T.; Miyashita, M. Chem. Lett. 1979,
163. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 2945. (d) Tada, M.; Sugimoto, T.; Takahashi,
T. Chem. Lett. 1979, 1441.

⁽³⁾ Yamakawa, K.; Satoh, T. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1979, 27, 1747.

^{(4) (}a) Jacobi, P.; Craig, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 7748. (b) Jacobi, P.; Walker, D.; Odeh, I. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 2065.

⁽⁵⁾ Katritzky, A. R.; Boulton, A. J. Adv. Heterocycl. Chem. 1974, 17, 99.

⁽⁶⁾ Evans, D.; Sims, C.; Andrews, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 5453.

⁽⁷⁾ All yields refer to isolated and purified materials. All new compounds gave satisfactory elemental analyses and spectral data.⁸ Yields have not been maximized.

⁽⁸⁾ Physical and chemical properties for reported compounds. (a) 11a: 1H NMR (\dot{CDCl}_3) $\delta 0.75$ (d, 3 H, J = 7 Hz), $\dot{0}.77$ (s, 3 H), 1.19-1.75 (br m, 7 H), 1.83 (m, 1 H, collapsing to a triplet, J = 4 Hz, upon irradiation at 2.45), 2.45 (d, 2 H, J = 9 Hz, collapsing to a singlet upon irradiation at 1.83), 3.68 (d, 1 H, J = 11 Hz), 4.15 (d, 1 H, J = 11 Hz, collapsing to a singlet upon (c, 14) (c, 14) (c, 17) (c, 1 7 Hz, collapsing to a singlet upon irradiation at 1.62), 0.94 (s, 3 H), 1.02-1.57 (br m, 6 H), 1.62 (m, 1 H, sharpens upon irradiation at 0.77), 1.88 (m, 1 H, sharpens upon irradiation at 2.60), 2.60 (dd, 1 H, J = 12, 15 Hz, collapsing to a doublet upon irradiation at either 1.88 or 2.86), 2.86 (dd, 1 H, J = 4to a doublet upon irradiation at either 1.66 of 2.66, 2.66 (eq. 14), eq. (15 Hz, collapsing to a doublet upon irradiation at either 1.88 or 2.60, 3.61 (m, 2 H, collapsing to two doublets, J = 11 Hz, upon addition of D₂O), 6.76 (s, 1 H), 7.78 (s, 1 H); IR (CH₂Cl₂) 3635, 1510, 1480 cm⁻¹; M⁺ m/e 223; mp 74-75 °C. Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₂₁NO₂: C, 69,92; H, 9.48; N, 6.27. Found: C, 69,87; H, 9.63; N, 6.57. (c) 13: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.85 (d, 3 H) 10. If J = 7 Hz, collapsing to a singlet upon irradiation at 2.06), 1.05 (s, 3 H), 1.08-1.64 (br m, 6 H), 1.91 (m, 1 H, sharpens upon irradiation at 2.74), 2.06 (m, 1 H, sharpens upon irradiation at 0.85), 2.74 (m, 2 H, collapsing to dd, J = 15 Hz, upon irradiation at 1.91), 6.78 (s, 1H), 7.80 (s, 1 H), 9.61 (s, 1 H); IR (CH₂Cl₂) 2700, 1705, 1600, 1510 cm⁻¹; M⁺ m/e 221. (d) 7b: ,1H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.78 (d, 3 H, J = 7 Hz, collapsing to a singlet upon irradiation at 1.91), 0.95 (s, 3 H), 1.01–1.73 (br m, 6 H), 1.75 (d, 3 H, J = 2.5 Hz, collapsing to a singlet upon irradiation at 4.38), 1.91 (m, 1 H, sharpens upon irradiation at 0.78), 1.98 (m, 1 H, sharpens upon irradiation at 3.17). 2.71 (dd, 1 H, J = 12, 15 Hz, collapsing to a doublet upon irradiation at either 1.98 or 3.17), 3.17 (dd, 1 H, J = 2, 15 Hz, collapsing to a doublet upon 1.98 or 3.17), 3.17 (dd, 1 H, J = 2, 15 Hz, collapsing to a doublet upon irradiation at either 1.98 or 2.71), 4.38 (br s, 1 H, sharpens upon irradiation at 1.75), 6.74 (s, 1 H), 7.77 (s, 1 H); IR (CH₂Cl₂) 3585, 2230, 1590, 1495 cm⁻¹; M⁺ m/e 261; mp 117–119 °C. Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₃NO₂: C, 73.53, H, 8.87, N, 5.36. Found: C, 73.28, H, 8.71, N, 5.10. (e) 7a: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.79 (d, 3 H, J = 7 Hz, collapsing to a singlet upon irradiation at 2.22), 1.02–1.60 (br m, 6 H), 1.21 (s, 3 H), 1.98 (s, 3 H), 2.06 (m, 1 H, sharpens upon irradiation at 2.79), 2.22 (m, 1 H, collapsing to d, J = 4 Hz, upon irradiation at 0.79), 2.55 (dd, 1 H, J = 4, 15 Hz, collapsing to a doublet upon irradiation at either 2.06 or 2.79), 2.79 (dd, 1 H, J = 12, 15 Hz, collapsing to a doublet upon irradiation at either 2.06 or 2.55), 6.78 (s, 1 H), 7.78 (s, 1 H); IR (CH₂Cl₂) 2200, 1650, 1595, 1500 cm⁻¹; M⁺ m/e 259; bp 155 °C (0.002 mm). Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₁NO₂; C, 74.10; H, 8.16; N, 5.40. Found: C, 73.99; H, 7.97; N, 5.20. (f) (±)-1: ¹H NMR, IR, and UV data identical with those reported; ^{16,11} mp 70–71 °C (lit.² mp 70.5–71 °C, syn-thetic; 64–65 °C, natural¹⁰. (g) (±)-3: ¹H NMR and other spectral data identical with those reported; ^{16,3} mp 67–70 °C (lit.¹⁶ m 67–68 °C, naturally derived; synthetically derived (±)-3 previously reported as an oil³). (h) (±)-2: derived; synthetically derived (\pm) -3 previously reported as an oil³). (h) (\pm) -2: ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and other spectral data identical with those reported for the naturally occurring substance.^{16,3,12} mp 73-74 °C (lit.¹⁶ mp 80-81 °C, (±)-petasalbine acetate: ¹H NMR and other spectral data identical with those reported for the naturally derived substance;¹c.¹¹ mp 33-34 °C (lit.¹c mp 54-55 °C for the naturally derived substance).

⁽⁹⁾ Schöllkopf, U. Pure Appl. Chem. 1979, 51, 1347 and references cited therein. (b) Walborsky, H.; Pereasamy, M. Org. Prep. Proced. Int. 1979, 11, 293.

^{(10) (}a) Omura, K.; Swern, D. Tetrahedron 1978, 34, 1651. (b) Mancuso, A.; Huang, S.; Swern, D. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2480.

⁽¹¹⁾ Tada, M.; Takahashi, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1973, 5169.

⁽¹²⁾ Sato, T.; Tada, M.; Takahashi, T.; Horibe, I.; Ishii, H.; Tori, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 3895.





<u>||a</u> (77%)

<u>||</u>|| (23%)

Scheme IIa







^a (a) LiCH₂NC, THF, DMF, 51% yield. (b) Me₂SO, (COCl)₂, 99% yield. (c) MeC=CLi, THF, 69% yield. (d) Me₂SO, (COCl)₂, 98% yield.

of highly oxygenated sesquiterpenes will be the subject of future communications.

Acknowledgment. Financial support of this work by the National Science Foundation (Grant CHE-7800633) and the National Institutes of Health (Grant CA20483) is gratefully acknowledged. The Varian XL-200 spectrometer used in this work was financed in part by the National Science Foundation (Grant CHE-7908593), the Dreyfus Foundation, and Wesleyan University. D.G.W. was the recipient of a Sigma Xi Grant in Aid of Research during the course of this work.

High Enantioselectivity in Reductions with a Chiral Bis(NADH) Model Compound

Masahiko Seki, Naomichi Baba, Jun'ichi Oda, and Yuzo Inouye*

Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University Uji, Kyoto, Japan Received March 5, 1981

The stereospecificity in parallel with the remarkable rate enhancement under mild conditions which are available in enzyme reactions have attracted and prompted organic chemists interested in synthesis to simulate the enzymic stereospecificity apart from the rate acceleration.

In order to gain further insight into the detailed mechanism of the alcohol dehydrogenase catalyzed hydrogen transfer in vivo and also examine the potentiality of asymmetric reduction in nonenzymic synthesis, some simplified systems involving chiral 1,4-dihydronicotinamides as a coenzyme mimic have been devised, in which "biomimetic" asymmetric reductions have been developed with some measure of success.¹

In the course of our studies on asymmetric reduction^{1f-j} of activated carbonyls and carbon-carbon double bonds by the use of chiral 1,4-dihydronicotinamide (NAH) derivatives carrying polar groups in the chiral 3-carbamoyl moiety, we have found that one of the diastereotopic faces of dihydropyridine nucleus is specifically blocked in situ by the oxidized form NA through a charge-transfer interaction. This is further consolidated by the intermolecular chelation of intervening magnesium with the side chain hydroxyl groups of both nicotinamides, thus permitting an easier access of the substrate carbonyl to the unhindered face of dihydronicotinamide.^{1g}

The exogenously orienting effect of the oxidized form which accumulated during the conversion was cogently supported by the stereochemical evidence^{1g} and was in fact realized by the initial addition of the oxidized form NA as well as the external addition of either chiral or achiral aromatics capable of chelating and/or CT complexing.^{1f}

On the basis of this rationale, it would be possible to effect the specific blockage of the dihydronicotinamide face more securely by means of an *intramolecular* device, eventually leading to much-improved asymmetric yields.

Thus designed and prepared are the novel chiral bis(NADH) model compounds whose intended C_2 symmetry constrains the equivalent dihydropyridine nuclei to block the specific face of each other. In principle, this constitutes another advantage of the bis compounds over the corresponding mono derivatives (C_1) in enantioselectivity.

In accord with the expectation, higher enantiomeric excess of the reduction products was obtained by the use of bis(NAH) 1, 2, and 3^2 involving L-prolinamide as the chirality-inducing center,

0002-7863/81/1503-4613\$01.25/0 © 1981 American Chemical Society

^{(1) (}a) A. Ohno, T. Kimura, S. Oka, and Y. Ohnishi, Tetrahedron Lett., 757 (1978); (b) H. J. Ramesdonk, J. W. Verhoeven, U. K. Pandit, and Th. J. de Boer, Rec. Trav. Chim. Pay-Bas, 97, 195 (1978); (c) T. Endo, H. Kawasaki, and M. Okawara, Tetrahedron Lett., 23 (1979); (d) J. G. de Vries and R. M. Kellogg, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 101, 2759 (1979); (e) A. Ohno, M. Ikequchi, T. Kimura, and S. Oka, Ibid., 101, 7036 (1979); (f) T. Makino, T. Nunozawa, N. Baba, J. Oda, and Y. Inouye, Tetrahedron Lett., 1683 (1979); (g) T. Makino, T. Nunozawa, N. Baba, J. Oda, and Y. Inouye, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 7, (1980); (h) N. Baba, T. Makino, J. Oda, and Y. Inouye, Can. J. Chem., 58, 387 (1980); (i) N. Baba, J. Oda, and Y. Inouye, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 815, (1980); (j) Y. Inouye, "Abstracts of Papers", Second Chemical Congress of the North American Continent at Las Vegas, Aug., 1980.